

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.                      | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/691,809                           | 10/23/2003      | Abbas Razavi         | COS 944 (31223/00013    | 6297             |
| 25264                                | 7590 07/26/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| FINA TECHNOLOGY INC<br>PO BOX 674412 |                 |                      | HARLAN, ROBERT D        |                  |
| HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412               |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                      |                 |                      | 1713                    | -                |
|                                      |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005 |                  |

.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.           | Applicant(s)               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/691,809                | RAZAVI ET AL.              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner                  | Art Unit                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Robert D. Harlan          | 1713                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 June 2005</u> .  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.     |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.                        |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 5)  Notice of Informal Pa | tent Application (PTO-152) |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |                           |                            |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/691,809 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-36 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al., EP 1 125 928 A1 (hereinafter "Watanbe"). Watanabe teaches a transition metal compound and

Art Unit: 1713

catalyst for the production of polyolefins. See Watanabe,

Abstract; pages 3-6. Furthermore, Watanabe expressly states R<sup>6-15</sup>

of formula I may be bonded to each other to form a ring. See

Watanabe, page 4, lines 23-24.

The basic requirements of prima facie case of obvious are: (1) there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the reference themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings; (2) there must be a reasonable expectation of success; (3) the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See MPEP 2143. The Examiner contends there is suggestion or motivation to incorporate the teaching that R6-15 of formula I may be bonded to each other to form a ring. "There are three possible sources for a motivation to combine references: the nature of the problem to be solved, the teachings of the prior art, and the knowledge of persons of ordinary skill in the art." See In re Rouffet, 149 F.3d 1350, 1357, 47 USPQ2d 1453, 1457-58 (Fed. Cir. 1998). Although Watanbe does not disclose in the working examples the incorporation of the teaching that  $R^{6-15}$  of formula I may be bonded to each other to form a ring, based on the specification

as a whole a polymer chemist of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify Watanbe by preparing catalyst of the present invention. Such modification would be obvious because one would have a reasonable expectation of success that complexes and process for preparing the complexes as taught by Watanbe would be similarly useful and applicable to use in preparing ethylene polymers. Therefore, claims 1-36 are deem as being unpatentable over Watanbe.

## Conclusion

- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 6. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh